

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO.                     | FIL  | ING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------------------|------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/633,407 08/01/2003              |      | 3/01/2003  | Douglas W. Losordo      | 58098 (71417)       | 6007            |
| 21874                              | 7590 | 04/21/2006 | e e                     | EXAMINER            |                 |
| EDWARDS                            |      | ELL, LLP   | O'HARA, EILEEN B        |                     |                 |
| P.O. BOX 55874<br>BOSTON, MA 02205 |      |            | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER        |                 |
|                                    |      |            | •                       | 1646                |                 |
|                                    |      |            | DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006 |                     |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  | 10/633,407  | LOSORDO ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Eileen B. O'Hara  | 1646  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication apport  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SH<br>WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DISTRICT DISTRIC | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)  ズ  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja  | anuary 2006   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)□   | · · ·  | action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3)   | Since this application is in condition for allowa  |   | secution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ,—   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | on of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠  | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| _  | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | _  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) <u>1-74</u> are subject to restriction and/or   | election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | on Papers  | ·   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   | Type main and   |  |  |  |  |  |
| .0,  | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority ι   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * 5  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 222 3.3 disastrod dotation of the definited copies flot received.  |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | •   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | e(s)   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa  | te<br>atent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No(s)/Mail Date  | 6)  Other:  | Procession ( 10 loc)  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/633,407 Page 2

Art Unit: 1646

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-74 are pending in the instant application.

## Election/Restrictions

2.1 Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Y27632, VEGF-1 and limb ischemia in the reply filed on January 30, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the restriction requirements are improper, and that the subject matter of the groups represent different embodiments of a single inventive concept. Also argued is that a single, searchable, unifying aspect links all of the claims, that is modulating the activity of ezrin, a cytoskeletal protein. Also argued is that a sufficient search and examination with respect to the subject matter of all claims can be made without serious burden, and even if the groups of claims are drawn to distinct inventions, the Examiner must still examine the entire application on the merits because doing so will not result in a serious burden, which is especially true given the robust and extensive computerized search engines and databases at the Examiner's disposal.

This is not found persuasive because examining all of the claims would entail a serious burden. Although computerized search engines and databases help significantly in the search, the references in these databases are growing at a tremendous rate, and each claimed compound would require a separate search.

2.2 Upon further consideration, further restriction is required.

I. Claims 1-48 and 66-68, drawn to methods of modulating endothelial cell proliferation in a mammal or reducing the severity of blood vessel damage in a mammal, comprising administering an ezrin modulating agent, classified in class 514, subclass 1, and for example.

II. Claims 69-74, drawn to a pharmaceutical product comprising cells and kits for introduction of cells, classified in class 435, subclass 69.1, and for example.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case cells transfected with nucleic acid encoding ezrin could be used therapeutically in the method of group I, but the cells could also be used to recombinantly produce the protein, which is a materially different method.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or search requirements, and the search required for each group is not required for the other groups because each group requires a different non-patent literature search due to each group comprising different products and/or method steps, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,407

Art Unit: 1646

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0878. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached at (571) 272-0961.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free). Cilier B.O Wara

Eileen B. O'Hara, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

EILEEN B. O'HARA PRIMARY EXAMINER